

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,222		03/31/2000	David S Miller	PA816	1902
23696	7590	12/19/2003	;	EXAMINER	
Qualcomm Incorporated			•	LELE, TANMAY S	
Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92121-1714				2684	
				D. TD. 14.11 DD. 12/10/200	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	Application No.	plicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/982,668	YOSHINO ET AL.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INO DATE of this accomplisation and	Reba I. Elmore	2187					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status  1) Responsive to communication (a) filed on 18 Oct.	otobor 2001						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Oc</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This a							
<ul> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ul>							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2187

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

## Specification

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 4. A section titled <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11 should be added to the beginning of the specification listing the co-pending applications.
- 5. Figure 28b should be shown on page 18, line 25 as '28B' to be consistent with the actual drawing and the specification, see page 79 of the disclosure.
- 6. Acronyms must be defined in their first usage within the body of the specification, see page 19, line 16.
- 7. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

Art Unit: 2187

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 9. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kihara et al.
- 10. Kihara teaches the invention (claims 1, 10, 13 and 22) as claimed including a data processing device comprising:

a memory interface unit for accessing data storage means as an interface between the DSP and the memory card (e.g., see Figure 3 and col. 7, line 55 to col. 8, line 10);

a control unit for controlling the memory interface unit is taught as the digital signal processor (DSP) which provides the control signals necessary for operating the memory card (e.g., see Figure 3 and col. 7, line 55 to col. 8, line 10);

an access permission table which is stored in a data storage area in the data storage means is set in the memory interface unit is taught as the security block which contains a plurality of authentication keys (e.g., see Figure 3 and col. 8, line 56 to col. 9, line 14); and,

in response to an access command to access the data storage means, which is issued by the control unit, the memory interface unit determines, by referring to the access permission table, whether or not to execute the access command, whereby processing which is set executable by the access permission table is only executed is taught as multiple levels of security including the mutual authentication of security IC and the security block with the added level of an erase protection switch specifically for the flash memory (e.g., see Figure 3 and col. 8, line 56 to col. 9, line 61).

As to claims 2, 10, 11 and 14, Kihara teaches:

Art Unit: 2187

the data storage area in the data storage means is a flash memory having a plurality of blocks which each block consisting of a plurality of sectors which each have a predetermined data capacity (e.g., see col. 10, lines 9-27);

in the access permission table, permission information on block-unit data processing is set as the access permission flag which are part of every page (e.g., see col. 10, lines 27-57); and,

in accordance with the set permission information, the memory interface unit includes means for determining whether or not the block-unit data processing can be executed as the use of prohibition flags as well as the permission flags (e.g., see col. 10, lines 27-57).

As to claims 3 and 15, Kihara teaches:

only when a type of processing corresponding to the access command from the control unit is within a range of processing types which are set executable by the access permission table does the memory interface unit execute the type of processing, and sets a process-success flag in accordance with a success of the processing in the memory interface unit as the different layers or hierarchy of the processes of the file system with each layer having criteria which must be met prior to the processing being allowed (e.g., see col. 9, line 62 to col. 10, line 8); and,

the control unit executes processing thereof on condition that the setting of the processsuccess flag in the memory interface unit is verified as the DSP performing the processing which the criteria has been verified (e.g., see (e.g., see col. 9, line 62 to col. 10, line 8).

As to claims 4 and 16, Kihara teaches:

when the access command designates a data-file reading process, the control unit executes a process in which the address of a data file to be read is selected from a file allocation

Art Unit: 2187

table corresponding to the data storage area in the data storage means and is transmitted to the memory interface unit (e.g., see col. 11, line 60 to col. 14, line 17); and,

after receiving the address of the data file, the memory interface unit determines, by using the received address to refer to the access permission table, whether or not an address-assigned area having the address is a data-readable area, and only when the address assigned area is a data-readable area does the memory interface unit execute the data-file reading process is taught as the DSP determining the type of file being accessed along with the type of processing being determined and authenticated (e.g., see col. 11, line 60 to col. 14, line 17).

As to claims 5 and 17, Kihara teaches:

when the access command designates a data-file writing process, the control unit executes a process in which the address of the data file to be written is selected from the data storage area in the data storage means and is transmitted as a write address to the memory interface unit as writing content to the memory card (e.g., see col. 9, lines 1-25); and,

after receiving the write address, the memory interface unit determines whether or not an address-assigned area having the write address is a data writable area by using the received write address to refer to the access permission table as determining whether or not conditions for the mutual authentication have been met as well as the erase protection switch being correctly set for the writing of data to the memory card (e.g., see col. 9, lines 1-61) and only when the address-assigned area is a data writable area allowing the execute of the data file writing process to be executed (e.g., see col. 9, line 1 to claim 10, line 67).

As to claims 6, 12 and 18, Kihara teaches:

Art Unit: 2187

in the access permission table, in the form of additional data, an integrity check value which is generated based on data in the access permission table is included as a check value for verifying whether or not the data in the access permission table is interpolated as the use of an ECC area (e.g., see col. 10, lines 27-43);

the memory interface unit including a cryptosystem unit for executing the integrity checking the access permission table using the integrity check value as part of the DES, data encryption standard, encrypting circuit (e.g., see col. 5, lines 31-41); and,

when the cryptosystem unit determines that the access permission table has not been interpolated, the access permission table is set in the memory interface unit and the data processing is executed based on the determination of access permission in accordance with the set access permission table as both the memory card and the DSP having the encrypting circuitry (e.g., see col. 6, lines 11-59).

As to claims 7 and 19, Kihara teaches:

in the access permission table, in the form of additional data, an integrity check value which is generated based on data including data in the access permission table and a identifier unique to the data storage means is included as a check value for verifying whether or not the data in the access permission table is interpolated (e.g., see Figure 5 and col. 10, lines 9-43);

the verification based on the integrity check value by the memory interface unit is executed as the verification of whether or not the access permission table is stored in valid media, in addition to the verification of whether or not the data in the access permission table is interpolated as the attribute information which is also stored on the memory card (e.g., see col. 9, lines 39-61); and,

Art Unit: 2187

when verifying the validity of storage, the access permission table is set in the memory interface unit and data processing is executed based on the determination of access permission in accordance with the set access permission table (e.g., see col. 9, line 15 to col. 10, line 67).

As to claims 8 and 20, Kihara teaches when mutual authentication is established as a result of mutual authentication with the data storage means, the access permission table which is stored in the memory of the data storage means, is set in the memory interface unit as additional data used for access capability (e.g., see col. 21, line 1 to col. 22 line 67).

As to claims 9 and 21, Kihara teaches:

the data storage means is a flash memory having a data storage area which has a plurality of blocks, each block consisting of a plurality of sectors with each sector having a predetermined capacity (e.g., see col. 10, lines 9- 43);

in the access permission table, either information on whether or not block unit data erasure can be performed or information on whether or not block unit data playback can be performed is set as using an erase protection switch and restricting the number of rewrites times to the flash memory (e.g., see col. 9, line 51 to col. 11, line 57); and,

in accordance with either information set in the access permission table, the memory interface unit determines whether or not block unit data processing can be executed (e.g., see col. 9, line 51 to col. 11, line 57).

#### **Conclusion**

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2187

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reba I. Elmore, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH from 7:30am to 6:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the art unit supervisor for AU 2187, Donald Sparks, can be reached for general questions concerning this application at (703) 308-1756. Additionally, the official fax phone number for the art unit is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Reba I. Elmore

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2187

December 14, 2003